

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/748,470	12/30/2003	Richard Boudinot	026032-4592	026032-4592 7062	
26371	7590 04/15/2005		EXAMINER		
FOLEY & LARDNER			NELSON JR, MILTON		
777 EAST W SUITE 3800	ISCONSIN AVENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MILWAUKE	E, WI 53202-5308		3636		
			DATE MAILED: 04/15/200	DATE MAILED: 04/15/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

. 4	Application No.	Applicant(s)
•	10748470	BOUDINOT, RICHARD
Office Action Summary		•
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Milton Nelson, Jr.	3636
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01/03</u> 2a)□ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This     3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-51</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>18-20, 29-31, 51</u> is/ar 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13, 15-17, 21-28, 25-43, 45-50</u> is/ar 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>14, 32-34, 44</u> is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	re withdrawn from consideration. e rejected.	
Application Papers		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 12/30/03 is/are: a) ☐ ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the c Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	ccepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) □ All b) □ Some * c) ⊠ None of:  1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents 2. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the prioric application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No. <u>10156723</u> . ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date —.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Information Disclosure Statement

The information referred to in the information disclosure statement filed December 30, 2003 has been considered.

# **Priority**

Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority based on applications filed in Germany. It is noted, however, that applicant has not filed a certified copy of the German applications as required by 35 U.S.C. 119(b).

# Specification

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because line 6 appears to include a spelling error. Note "father". Correction is required.

The specification is objected to because the last line on page 7 includes vague punctuation. Note ",." Correction is required.

#### Election/Restrictions

S

Art Unit: 3636

Claims 18-20, 29-31 and 51 have been withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected embodiment of the invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant has grouped claim 51 with the elected embodiment of Group I, however claim 51 clearly includes subject matter that is specific to the non-elected embodiment of Group II. Group I is directed to an assembly with a single axis of rotation. Group II is directed to an assembly with plural axes of rotation. Claim 51 provides plural axes of rotation and is therefore specific to Group II. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on January 3, 2005.

The traversal is on the several grounds, none of which are persuasive. Applicant argued that Groups I and II are not independent and at least claim 51 of Group I has a feature of Group II. Independence is not required as the Groups are patentably distinct from one another. Claim 51 is clearly directed to Group II and not Group I. A feature that is shared by claims in both groups does not negate an requirement for election of species. Each of the groups includes a feature that is specific to that group only. As discussed above, Group I includes a single axis of rotation, and Group II includes plural axes of rotation. Applicant further argues that no serious burden is present in examination of all claims directed to both groups. Consideration of the claims directed to the non-elected embodiment adds serious burden. The search of the claims to the non-elected embodiment provides additional serious burden. The non-elected group requires considerable search beyond that of the elected group (e.g. searches in at least

Class 248, subclass 921, and Class 16, subclass 302 is required). Applicant argues that the groups are not independent because they have a disclose relationship. Such does not negate a proper requirement for election of species. The claims are related by way of a generic independent claim. The requirement establishes patentably distinct embodiments of the invention. Applicant argues that claims 41 and 51 are related as combination and subcombination and two-way distinctness cannot be shown because claim 41 is not distinct from claim 51. The requirement is not based on a combination-subcombination relationship. The requirement is based upon patentably distinct embodiments of the invention.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 10-16, 27, 35 and 41-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Line 2 of claim 10 includes vague spelling. Note the recitation "may be pivoted father". Similarly note claims 27, 35 and 41. The remaining claims are indefinite since each depends from an indefinite claim.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-10, 13, 15, 21 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kanda (5316369). Note the display (4), clockwise and counter-clockwise pivoting with respect to the seat (about member 6), pivoting opposite to the forward direction (note that after 4 leaves the use position in Figure 2, it travels in the rearward direction after the first 90 degrees of rotation; the first 90 degrees of rotation is in the forward direction); pivoting opposite to the forward direction of travel against action of a spring member (note that from the use position, after the first 90 degrees of rotation, the second 90 degrees of rotation is rearward against the action of spring member 29); pivoting in the forward direction of travel (from the stored position, the first 90 degrees of rotation is forward; from the use position, the first 90 degrees of rotation of forward); pivoting in the forward direction against action of a spring member (from the use position, the first 90 degrees of rotation is forward, which is against the action of spring member 29); pivoting in the forward direction against action of a damper member (from the use position, the first 90 degrees of rotation is forward against any of the friction mechanisms described in column 4 and shown in Figures 8-14); pivoting opposite to the forward direction (from the use position, the second 90 degrees of rotation is rearward;

from the stored position, the second 90 degrees of rotation is rearward); pivoting opposite to the forward direction against action of a spring member (from the use position, the second 90 degrees of rotation is rearward against the action of spring member 29) and pivoting in the forward direction of travel against action of a spring member (from the use position, the first 90 degrees of rotation is forward against action of the spring member 29); pivoting opposite to the forward direction against action of a spring member (from the use position, the second 90 degrees of rotation is rearward against the action of spring member 29) and pivoting in the forward direction of travel against action of a damper member (from the use position, the first 90 degrees of rotation is forward against the action of the damper 28); pivoting in the forward direction of travel by generating a first torque (from the stored position, the first 90 degrees is forward and the first torque is provided by the spring member 29), and pivoting further in the forward direction by generating a second torque of greater force than the first torque (from the use position, the first 90 degrees of rotation is forward and the second torque is of greater force than the first force to overcome the action of spring member 29). Also note that the first torque is "about" an amount of force equal to acceleration forces produced by "a" rear collision. Additionally note the multipart frame (10, 6, as shown in Figure 4), first side (screen) and second side (backing wall).

Claims 41-43, 46-48 and 50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kanda (5316369). Note the discussion of Kanda, above. Additionally note the braking mechanism (15), and adapter (6).

Claims 1-2, 4, 6-7, 10-11, 13, 15, 17, 21-23, and 26-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shafer (1178107). Note the display (4) that moves in both a clockwise and counterclockwise direction (see lines 95-102 on page 2) or forwardly and rearwardly depending on the movement and orientation of the backrest. Also note the capability of torque to provide slight pivoting and application of torque that allows pivoting of 90 degrees. Note that rotation of the display provides the capacity for it to face in either direction (note Figures 2 and 4). Also note the multipart frame (1, 2, 3). It can be seen that one side of the display provides visibility of the display, while the opposite side provides protection of the display. Also note the axis (3) extending in a transverse direction of the seat, and a means (6) for generating first and second torque, wherein 6 has the capability of producing the second torque with greater force than the first torque. The capacity exists for the first torque to cause travel to a certain point, wherein the second torque can cause travel beyond the certain point.

Claims 28, 35-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shafer (1178107). Note the discussion of Shafer, above. Additionally note the braking mechanism (12).

Claims 41-43, 45, and 48-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shafer (1178107). Note the discussion of Shafer, above.

Claims 1-2, 4, 7, and 21-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jones (5842715). Note the display (30), and multipart frame (mounting portions of 14).

Claims 1-10, 12-13, 15-17, and 21-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sakurai (5529265). Note the display (10), spring member (8), damper member (7, 12), multipart frame (see Figure 1), and first and second sides (see Figure 1). Sakurai has the capacity for generating first and second torque, wherein the second torque has a greater force than the first torque. The capacity exists for the first torque to cause travel to a certain point, wherein the second torque can cause travel beyond the certain point.

Claims 41-43, 46 and 48-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sakurai (5529265). Note the discussion of Sakurai, above.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 14, 32-34 and 44 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Page 9

Claims 14 and 44 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Milton Nelson, Jr. whose telephone number is 7033082117 (currently) and 5712726861 (after April 7, 2005). The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 5:30-3:00.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Milton Nelson, Jr. Primary Examiner Art Unit 3636

mn April 4, 2005